

NEXUS Gains: Realizing Multiple Benefits Across Water, Energy, Food and Ecosystems

Trade-offs and Foresight Analyses of Water-Energy-Food-Ecosystems (WEFE) Interventions in the Indus Basin

Dr Mohsin Hafeez IWMI Director – Water, Food and Ecosystems IWMI Country Representative (Pakistan)

Water Issues and Challenges

- Rapid population growth and increasing water demand for multiple sectors
- 8th most climate vulnerable country
- Managing extreme water risks floods and droughts
- Inadequate water storage (30 days) & rainwater harvesting facilities
- Largest contiguous irrigation system of world 35% WUE
- GW supplement 60% to irrigation & GW >90% urban water
- Lack of water marketing, pricing and trading concept
- Poor understanding of Water-Food-Energy-Ecosystems Nexus
- Water quality, sanitation and related health concerns-One health
- Weak water institutes, poor governance and policy incoherence
- Transboundary water conflicts
- Social conflicts due to water scarcity
- Building water resilience and adaptation to climate change



Source (NASA)



SWAT+ Model setup

Rivers, Dams, and Subasins in the Indus Basin



Total Area : 1135580 km²

Land use distribution

- Total Sub Basins : 589
- LSUs : 3016
- HRUs : 3016
- Channels : 1488

frsh

frsd

VSVO

pasa

wetw



NEXUS Gains: Realizing Multiple Benefits Across Water, Energy, Food and Ecosystems

- Warmup period : 2000 2002
- Modelling period : 2003 2023
- Calibration period : 2003 2013
- Validation period : 2014 2023

Optimized Parameters

Parameter	HRU/Soil	Optimized Value	Unit
en2	hru	72	null
en3_swf	hru	0.28	null
canmx	hru	8	mm/H20
esco	hru	0.2	null
epco	hru	0.13	null
berco	hru	0.19	fraction
	1	0.06	
iwc	SOI	0.06	mm_H20/mm
Z	sol	4	mm/hr

agr4

-incharts.com

SWAT+ Model Calibration/Validation



NEXUS Gains:

Realizing Multiple Benefits



NEXUS Gains: Realizing Multiple Benefits Across Water, Energy, Food and Ecosystems









Realizing Multiple Benefits Across Water, Energy, Food and Ecosystems





NEXUS Gains: Realizing Multiple Benefits Across Water, Energy, Food and Ecosystems



PyWR:Water Resource Allocation Model for Indus Basin



Pywr is a tool for solving network resource allocation problems at discrete timesteps using a linear programming approach.

IWMI Pakistan is developing a **Digital tool** for the Indus Basin Irrigation System under the Nexus Gains Initiative especially to address the Water Resource Allocation and Optimization



PyWR is an open-source Python library for building water resource models. It can be an effective tool for water allocation under the Indus River System Authority (IRSA) in several ways

Indus PyWR Model : Model Structure and Creation

NEXUS Gains: Realizing Multiple Benefits Across Water, Energy, Food



Indus Pywr: Outputs

جلال آباد

Inflow Stations

کابل

- Water Demand
- Link Nodes
- Power Generation
 - Rivers/Channels
 - Barrages

1 1 20

lammu.ond

Kashmir

Network ind v1 (hydra.org.uk)

Srinagar

Indus PyWR Model : Model Results – Barrages

Station : Chashma Barrage US Inflow Observed vs Simulated 90000 Observed Simulated NSE: 0.89 Percent Bias: -9.43% 80000 70000 60000 50000 40000 30000 20000 2020 2002

> Station : Taunsa Barrage US Inflow Observed vs Simulated 70000 Observed Simulated NSE: 0.85 Percent Bias: -6.81% 60000 (App 50000 Der 40000 30000 Millio 20000 Out 10000 2020 2002

www.cgiar.org

Dutf





Indus PyWR Model : Utilities and Prospects



Flexible Model for Indus Basin: PyWR allows the creation of tailored models reflecting the unique features of the Indus Basin.

Integration of 10-Daily Flow Data: Incorporates IRSA's 10-daily flow data for precise water allocation.

Dynamic Allocation Adjustments: Adjusts allocations based on real-time data, ensuring accurate and responsive water distribution.

Optimization Capabilities: PyWR can optimize water distribution based on various criteria, such as maximizing agricultural output or minimizing shortages, which aligns with IRSA's goal of equitable water distribution **Scenario Analysis:** The tool supports scenario analysis, helping IRSA evaluate the impacts of different water management strategies under varying climatic and demand conditions.

Transparency and Collaboration: Being open-source, PyWR encourages transparency in modeling and facilitates collaboration among different stakeholders, including provincial authorities and researchers.

Trades-off Analysis Provision

www.cgiar.org

Indus PyWR : User Case Studies



To.

NO. IWT&R/24/ 1/2. / GOVERNMENT OF THE PUNJAB IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT

Dated 18/02/2024

The Chief Engineer, Water Resource Zone, Irrigation Department, Punjab, Lahore.

Subject: REQUEST FOR COLLABORATION: TAILORING PyWR MODEL FOR PUNJAB IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT UNDER CGIAR NEXUS Gains INITIATIVE:

It is informed that the Directorate of Indus Water Treaty & Regulation is responsible for water regulation and distribution in the province. To distribute the available supply more efficiently, this office not only use past proved experience, but also try its best to use modern tools and technology.

2. During recent consultative sessions, we were introduced to the PyWR Model, a development by International Water Management Institute (IWMI) under CGIAR NEXUS Gains Initiative. We acknowledge the model's capabilities and its direct relevance to our department's specific needs. The PyWR Model, with its flexible and robust framework for simulating and optimizing water resource systems, appears to be an essential tool for our decision support information system.

3. Given the escalating stress on our water resources due to factors like climate change and increasing agricultural demands, we are eager to leverage the PyWR Model to enhance our decision-making processes related to water allocation and distribution. As currently, the Punjab Irrigation department is in the process of implementing the Punjab Water Act, aiming for a more integrated and sustainable framework for water resource management, the PvWR Model aligns seamlessly with our objectives.

(Link to full Document)



PAKISTAN COUNCIL OF **RESEARCH IN** WATER RESOURCES SER FUS BEER **NDRMF** Ministry of Water WAPDA PCRWR Resources that is no to platy. Quran 5:9 PAKISTAN INDUS RIVER SYSTEM AUTHO EST tiona uth Federal Flood ester Management Irrigation Commission Department Realizing Multiple Benefits Across Water, Energy, Food and Ecosystems CGIAR

Groundwater Management Information System (GMIS)

+

Interface of GMIS

- Tubewell information \bullet
- **Piezometer information**
- **Groundwater Quality**
- **Aquifer Characteristics**



NEXUS Gains:

and Ecosystems

CGIAR

Realizing Multiple Benefits

Across Water, Energy, Food

Groundwater Management Information System (GMIS) Groundwater Geotagged Tube-wells Piezometric Groundwater Quality Aquifer

Province

Tehsil

All

All

None

Puniab

Main Feature

- robust tool for data base management, analysis and reporting.
- Quantification of seasonal and annual extraction, depletion patterns, qualitative demarcation, aquifer vulnerability assessment, etc.
- Provides interactive mapping to facilitate identification of hotspots and critical areas.
- Supports WRZ for enabling informed for implementing decision sustainable management practices.
- □ Facilitates WRC for the compliance of Punjab Water Act by settina appropriate caps on extraction in vulnerable resource safeguarding thereby areas. groundwater resources for future generations. www.cgiar.org



NEXUS Gains:

and Ecosystems

Realizing Multiple Benefits Across Water, Energy, Food

Impact on Environment



Carbon credit while replacing 5, 10 and 15% of the non-solar electric and diesel tube wells with solar tube wells

Carbon credit by replacing 5, 10 and 15% of electric pumps with solar pumps

	, i i	0 /					
		GHG Emissio	on Rate (tons)	Carbon Credit (tons)			
District	Total	5% scenario	10% scenario	15% scenario	5% scenario	10% scenario	15% scenario
Chakwal	299.62	284.60	269.64	254.68	-15.02	-29.98	-44.94
Jhang	503.80	478.60	453.40	428.20	-25.20	-50.40	-75.60
Rahim Yar Khan	261.32	248.27	235.21	222.10	-13.06	-26.11	-39.22
Punjab	17470.90	16597.37	15723.84	14850.32	-873.53	-1747.06	-2620.59

Carbon credit by replacing 5, 10 and 15% of diesel pumps with solar pumps

		GHG Emissio	on Rate (tons)	Carbon Credit (tons)			
District	Total	5% scenario	10% scenario	15% scenario	5% scenario	10% scenario	15% scenario
Chakwal	577.73	548.76	519.96	490.99	-28.97	-57.77	-86.74
Jhang	3745.81	3558.55	3371.20	3183.94	-187.26	-374.61	-561.87
Rahim Yar Khan	5430.22	5158.74	4887.14	4615.66	-271.48	-543.08	-814.56
Punjab	191648.55	182066.14	172483.73	162901.32	-9582.41	-19164.81	-28747.22

The Economywide Impacts of Increasing Water Security through Policies on Agricultural Production



NEXUS Gains: Realizing Multiple Benefits Across Water, Energy, Food and Ecosystems

Implication of Restricting Rice and Sugarcane acreage by 15% in Pakistan

Computable General Equilibrium –Water model (CGE-W) used to assess that releasing water from high delta crops for other beneficial uses

Annual Crop Water Requirement (mm/year)





Changes in Land Use in Punjab to 2030 based on the Historical Scenario and Three **Scenarios (Million Acres)**



NEXUS Gains: Realizing Multiple Benefits Across Water, Energy, Food and Ecosystems

Crops	Base			15 perce Ri	15 percent ♥ in Rice		15 percent ↓ in Sugarcane		15 percent ↓ in Rice & Sugarcane	
	2014	2030	Change	2030 Level	Diff*	2030 Level	Diff	2030 Level	Diff	
Wheat	17.10	15.42	-1.68	15.61	0.19	15.70	0.28	15.99	0.58	
Irri Rice	1.39	1.48	0.08	1.41	-0.07	1.55	0.07	1.42	-0.06	
Basmati	2.63	2.54	-0.09	2.25	-0.29	2.43	-0.11	2.22	-0.32	
Cotton	5.67	5.95	0.28	6.13	0.17	6.15	0.20	6.39	0.44	
Sugarcane	1.58	1.59	0.01	1.61	0.02	1.36	-0.23	1.39	-0.20	
Maize	1.75	1.78	0.03	1.79	0.01	1.80	0.02	1.81	0.02	
Other Crops	8.77	9.30	0.54	9.31	0.01	8.71	-0.60	8.52	-0.78	
Vegetables	2.41	3.80	1.39	3.92	0.12	3.90	0.10	4.03	0.23	
Fruit	1.57	2.92	1.35	2.97	0.05	3.29	0.36	3.33	0.41	
Total	42.87	44.80	1.93	45.00	0.20	44.88	0.08	45.10	0.31	

Source: Authors' estimation, IFPRI CGE-W model runs

Note: *The Diff columns show the differences in the change to 2030 in the Base versus each of the scenarios. VVVVV.cgiui.cig

Changes in Water Use in Punjab to 2030 based on the Historical Scenario and Three Scenarios (MAF)



NEXUS Gains: Realizing Multiple Benefits Across Water, Energy, Food and Ecosystems

Crops	Base			15 perc in R	in Rice		15 percent ♥ in Sugarcane		15 percent ♥ in Rice & Sugarcane	
	2014	2030	Change	2030 Level	Diff	2030 Level	Diff	2030 Level	Diff	
Wheat	10.75	9.50	-1.25	9.61	0.11	9.73	0.24	9.89	0.39	
Irri Rice	3.63	4.23	0.60	2.82	-1.41	4.85	0.63	2.92	-1.30	
Basmati	6.44	6.67	0.23	4.88	-1.79	7.39	0.72	4.93	-1.74	
Cotton	11.58	11.51	-0.07	12.39	0.88	12.55	1.04	13.93	2.42	
Sugarcane	5.65	7.04	1.39	7.17	0.13	3.86	-3.18	3.93	-3.11	
Maize	0.70	0.68	-0.02	0.70	0.02	0.73	0.05	0.76	0.08	
Other Crops	6.19	6.34	0.15	6.59	0.25	6.87	0.52	7.21	0.86	
Vegetables	3.03	3.86	0.83	4.11	0.25	4.29	0.43	4.64	0.77	
Fruit	2.26	3.33	1.07	3.57	0.24	3.96	0.63	4.30	0.97	
Total	50.22	53.15	2.93	51.84	-1.31	54.23	1.08	52.51	-0.64	

.

Source: Authors' estimation. IFPRI CGE-W model runs

Note: *The Diff columns show the differences in the change to 2030 in the Base versus each of the scenarios.

Region wise Crop Water Use Changes with Taxes on Both Sugarcane and Rice, without and with Climate Change



Realizing Multiple Benefits Across Water, Energy, Food and Ecosystems



National Water Use with Alternative Tax Policies (MAF)



Applied CGE-W model to assess water release potential by reducing sugarcane and rice acreage:

 Taxing sugarcane & rice combinedly or 30% taxing sugarcane, cotton and rice releases significant water volumes
70.1 MAF or 68.9 MAF respectively

 Alternatively, instead of taxing crops or reduce the acreage, Tax on the inputs such as fertilizer achieve similar results i.e. 69.5 MAF

 Combined SC and Rice scenario released 3.2 MAF out of agriculture.

2	Сгор	Combined SC and Rice	SC Rice Cotton -30 30 30	Difference (Col. 3 – 2)	Fertiliz er	Differenc e (Col. 4 – 2)	Scaled Based on CWR	Difference (Col. 5 – 2)
or ce	Wheat	12.6	12.8	0.2	12.4	-0.2	14.7	2.1
	Rice	12.4	14.1	1.6	14.2	1.8	13.7	1.3
or	Cotton	16.5	10.2	-6.3	11.8	-4.7	11.9	-4.6
its	Sugarcane	8.3	9.6	1.4	9.0	0.8	7.1	-1.2
ılts	Maize	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.9	0.1
	Other crops	7.9	8.2	0.3	8.2	0.3	7.7	-0.2
)	Vegetables	5.7	6.5	0.8	6.3	0.6	6.6	0.9
	Fruit	6.0	6.8	0.8	6.7	0.7	6.8	0.8
	Total	70.1	68.9	-1.2	69.5	-0.7	69.4	-0.7

Thank you for your attention!

Dr Mohsin Hafeez Director, Water, Food and Ecosystems IWMI m.hafeez@cgiar.org



NEXUS Gains: Realizing Multiple Benefits Across Water, Energy, Food and Ecosystems

